

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/765,678 01/27/2004 | | Mark D. Tucker | SD-7463 | 9818 | |
| 20567 | 7590 | 09/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SANDIA | | RATION | ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID | | |
| P O BOX : MS-0161 | 5800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALBUQU | ERQUE, | NM 87185-0161 | 1714 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/765,678 | TUCKER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Joseph D. Anthony | 1714 | | |

| Defere the Eiling of an Annual Drief | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Joseph D. Anthony | 1714 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date | The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | ns of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | ما له معمله ما معمله معملات ب | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c | | | ecause | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel | | 12 50.011), | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | · | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | , | • | _ | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-28</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | · | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 | | | | | | | | |
| | 90 | see of the | | | | | | |
| | | Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 | 9/13/06 | | | | | |
| | | | ,, ,, ,, | | | | | |

Application No. 10/765,678

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's propossed amendments, such as changing the preamble claim language from "comprising" to "consisting essentially of", raises new issues that would clearly require further consideration.